



PATENT
Attorney Docket No. 016354.0198

IPW

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:)
John BARANOWSKI)
Application No.: 10/601,669) Examiner: Rashmi K. SHARMA
Filed: June 24, 2003) Group Art Unit: 3651
For: DISPENSERS AND METHODS OF) Confirmation No. 8433
DISPENSING ITEMS)

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

In a Restriction Requirement mailed March 24, 2005, the Examiner identifies the following allegedly, patentably distinct species and requests that Applicant identify the claims associated with each species and elect one of these species for prosecution in the above-captioned patent application:

<u>Species:</u>	<u>Figure(s):</u>	<u>Associated Claims:</u>
I	Figs. 1 and 2	Claims 1, 4-23, and 25-81
II	Fig. 3	Claims 1-23 and 25-81
III	Fig. 5	Claims 1, 4-22, and 24-81
IV	Fig. 6	Claims 1, 4-22, and 24-81
V	Fig. 12	Claims 1, 4-22, 25-27, and 30-79

The Examiner indicates that claim 1 is currently generic. Nevertheless, Applicant maintains that claims 1, 4-22, 25-27, and 30-79 are generic to Species I-V. In addition, claims 28, 29, 80, and 81 are generic to Species I-IV; claim 23 is generic to Species I and II; and claim 24 is generic to Species III and IV. **In response to the Restriction Requirement, Applicant elects Species III (Fig. 5; Claims 1, 4-22, and 24-81), with traverse.**